ENROLLED ORIGINAL

A RESOLUTION

15-244

IN THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

October 7, 2003

To approve the small area plan submitted by the Mayor to the Council for the Southwest Waterfront.

RESOLVED, BY THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, That this resolution may be cited as the "Southwest Waterfront Plan Approval Resolution of 2003".

Sec. 2. The Council finds that:

(1) The Development Plan and Anacostia Waterfront Initiative Vision for the Southwest Waterfront ("Plan") covers the area approximately bounded on the north by the 14th Street Bridge, S.W., on the south by Fort McNair, on the east by Maine Avenue, S.W., and on the west by the Washington Channel.

(2) The Plan was initiated in 2001 by the Office of Planning in conjunction with the National Capital Revitalization Corporation.

(3) The Plan is the result of 2 years of interactive planning that included neighborhood residents, local businesses, local and federal government agencies, technical experts, community and stakeholder engagement, study, and analysis.

(4) The focus of the Plan is the redevelopment of land owned by the National Capital Revitalization Corporation and a vision that identifies long-term improvements, which will require federal participation to implement.

(5) The purpose of the Plan is to reactivate the Southwest Waterfront as a true urban waterfront where maritime, commercial, cultural, residential, and neighborhood uses will thrive together.

(6) The Plan outlines broad development goals, urban design objectives, and priority actions that will lead to the revitalization of the Southwest Waterfront.

(7) The Plan provides supplemental guidance to the Zoning Commission, the Board of Zoning Adjustment, and other agencies, boards, and commissions for carrying out the policies of the District of Columbia Comprehensive Plan.

ENROLLED ORIGINAL

Sec. 3. Pursuant to section 4(c)(4) of the District of Columbia Comprehensive Plan Act of 1984 Land Use Element Amendment Act of 1984, effective March 16, 1985 (D.C. Law 5-187; D.C. Official Code § 1-301.64(c)(4)), the Mayor, on July 31, 2003, transmitted to the Council the proposed Southwest Development Plan and Vision dated February 6, 2003.

Sec. 4. The Plan as submitted by the Mayor is hereby approved.

Sec. 5. Fiscal impact statement.

The Council adopts the fiscal impact statement in the committee report as the fiscal impact statement required by section 602 (c)(3) of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, approved December 24, 1973 (87 Stat. 813; D.C. Official § 1-206.02 (c)(3)).

Sec. 6. Effective date.

This resolution shall take effect immediately.

ENROLLED ORIGINAL

A RESOLUTION

15-245

IN THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

October 7, 2003

To approve the compensation system changes submitted by the Mayor on behalf of the Board of Trustees of the University of the District of Columbia for the Legal Service employees not covered by collective bargaining.

RESOLVED, BY THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, That this resolution may be cited as the "University of the District of Columbia Legal Service Employees Compensation System Changes Approval Resolution of 2003".

Sec. 2. Pursuant to section 1106 of the District of Columbia Government Comprehensive Merit Personnel Act of 1978, effective March 3, 1979 (D.C. Law 2-139; D.C. Official Code § 1-611.06), the Council approves the compensation system changes recommended by the Mayor on behalf of the Board of Trustees of the University of the District of Columbia ("Board") for the legal service employees not covered by collective bargaining, which were transmitted to the Council by the Mayor on behalf of the Chairman of the Board on July 7, 2003, and which provide as follows:

UNIVERSITY OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
OUTSIDE THE SCOPE OF COLLECTIVE BARGAINING
LEGAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATIVE SALARY SCHEDULE

Effective: October 1, 2002

Increase: 6.4% **Grades 02A & 02B Remain Constant**

Service Codes: L29; **CBU Code:** XGF

Created from Salary Schedule Effective October 1, 1999

GRADE	STEP INCREASES										Difference Between Steps
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
02A	102,673	105,775	108,877	111,979	115,081	118,183	121,285	124,387	127,489	130,591	3,102
02B	88,723	90,849	93,425	96,001	98,577	101,153	103,729	106,305	108,881	111,457	2,576
03	80,163	82,498	84,833	87,168	89,503	91,838	94,173	96,508	98,843	101,178	2,335
04	68,152	70,137	72,122	74,107	76,092	78,077	80,062	82,047	84,032	82,047	1,985

OCT 17 2003
RECEIVED

Sec. 3. The compensation system changes approved by section 2 shall become effective as of the 1st day of the 1st pay period beginning on or after October 1, 2002.

Sec. 4. Fiscal impact statement.

The Council adopts the fiscal impact statement in the committee report as the fiscal impact statement required by section 602(c)(3) of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, approved December 24, 1973 (87 Stat. 813; D.C. Official Code §1-206.02(c)(3)).

Sec. 5. The Secretary to the Council shall transmit a copy of this resolution, upon its adoption, to the Board and to the Mayor.

Sec. 6. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

ENROLLED ORIGINAL

A RESOLUTION

15-246

IN THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

October 7, 2003

To approve the compensation system submitted by the Mayor on behalf of the University of the District of Columbia for the Career Service employees of the University of the District of Columbia not covered by collective bargaining.

RESOLVED, BY THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, That this resolution may be cited as "University of the District of Columbia Non-Union Career Service Employees Compensation System Changes Approval Resolution of 2003".

Sec. 2. Pursuant to section 1106 of the District of Columbia Government Comprehensive Merit Personnel Act of 1978, effective March 3, 1979 (D.C. Law 2-139; D.C. Official Code §1-611.06), the Council approves the compensation system changes recommended by the Mayor on behalf of the Board of Trustees of the University of the District of Columbia ("Board") for the Career Service employees not covered by collective bargaining, which were transmitted to the Council by the Mayor on behalf of the Chairman of the Board on July 7, 2003, and which provide as follows:

UNIVERSITY OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
OUTSIDE THE SCOPE OF COLLECTIVE BARGAINING
DISTRICT SERVICE

Increase: 6.4% **Effective:** October 6, 2002

Service Code: A01; **CBU Code:** XGF

Created from Salary Schedule Effective April 9, 2000

GRADE	STEP INCREASES										Difference Between Steps
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
DS - 01	15,261	15,726	16,191	16,656	17,121	17,586	18,051	18,516	18,981	19,446	465
DS - 02	17,007	17,523	18,039	18,555	19,071	19,587	20,103	20,619	21,135	21,651	516
DS - 03	18,420	18,988	19,556	20,124	20,692	21,260	21,828	22,396	22,964	23,532	568
DS - 04	20,510	21,147	21,784	22,421	23,058	23,695	24,332	24,969	25,606	26,243	637
DS - 05	22,773	23,488	24,203	24,918	25,633	26,348	27,063	27,778	28,493	29,208	715
DS - 06	25,237	26,031	26,825	27,619	28,413	29,207	30,001	30,795	31,589	32,383	794
DS - 07	27,876	28,760	29,644	30,528	31,412	32,296	33,180	34,064	34,948	35,832	884
DS - 08	30,733	31,711	32,689	33,667	34,645	35,623	36,601	37,579	38,557	39,535	978
DS - 09	33,795	34,877	35,959	37,041	38,123	39,205	40,287	41,369	42,451	43,533	1,082
DS - 10	37,084	38,272	39,460	40,648	41,836	43,024	44,212	45,400	46,588	47,776	1,188
DS - 11	40,742	42,048	43,354	44,660	45,966	47,272	48,578	49,884	51,190	52,496	1,306
DS - 12	48,822	50,388	51,954	53,520	55,086	56,652	58,218	59,784	61,350	62,916	1,566
DS - 13	58,053	59,917	61,781	63,645	65,509	67,373	69,237	71,101	72,965	74,829	1,864
DS - 14	68,606	70,808	73,010	75,212	77,414	79,616	81,818	84,020	86,222	88,424	2,202
DS - 15	80,705	83,294	85,883	88,472	91,061	93,650	96,239	98,828	101,417	104,006	2,589
DS - 16	93,566	93,998	94,430	94,862	95,294	95,726	96,158	96,590	97,022	97,454	432

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA REGISTER
OCT 17 2003
ENROLLED ORIGINAL

UNIVERSITY OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
OUTSIDE THE SCOPE OF COLLECTIVE BARGAINING
DISTRICT SERVICE

Increase: 6.4% **Effective:** October 6, 2002

Service Code: A06; **CBU Code:** XGF

OCCUPATIONAL COVERAGE: CLERICAL SERIES DS-318 - SECRETARY; DS-322 CLERK TYPIST; DS 356 DATA TRANSCRIBER
Created from Salary Schedule Effective April 9, 2000

GRADE	STEP INCREASES										Difference Between Steps
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
DS - 02	19,071	19,587	20,103	20,619	21,135	21,651	22,167	22,683	23,199	23,715	516
DS - 03	20,691	21,259	21,827	22,395	22,963	23,531	24,099	24,667	25,235	25,803	568
DS - 04	21,783	22,420	23,057	23,694	24,331	24,968	25,605	26,242	26,879	27,516	637
DS - 05	23,488	24,203	24,918	25,633	26,348	27,063	27,778	28,493	29,208	29,923	715
DS - 06	26,031	26,825	27,619	28,413	29,207	30,001	30,795	31,589	32,383	33,177	794
DS - 07	28,760	29,644	30,528	31,412	32,296	33,180	34,064	34,948	35,832	36,716	884

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA REGISTER
OCT 17 2003 ENROLLED ORIGINAL
3 8742

ENROLLED ORIGINAL
OCT 17 2003

UNIVERSITY OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
OUTSIDE THE SCOPE OF COLLECTIVE BARGAINING
WAGE SERVICE - NON SUPERVISORY PAY RATES

Increase: 6.4% **Effective:** October 6, 2002

Service Codes: B01; **CBU Code:** XGF
Created from Salary Schedule Effective April 9, 2000

GRADE	STEP 1 HOURLY RATE	STEP 1 ANNUALIZED RATE	STEP 2 HOURLY RATE	STEP 2 ANNUALIZED RATE	STEP 3 HOURLY RATE	STEP 3 ANNUALIZED RATE	STEP 4 HOURLY RATE	STEP 4 ANNUALIZED RATE	STEP 5 HOURLY RATE	STEP 5 ANNUALIZED RATE
RW - 01	9.55	19,864.00	9.96	20,716.80	10.35	21,528.00	10.75	22,360.00	11.14	23,171.20
RW - 02	10.34	21,507.20	10.77	22,401.60	11.20	23,296.00	11.63	24,190.40	12.06	25,084.80
RW - 03	11.14	23,171.20	11.61	24,148.80	12.07	25,105.60	12.53	26,062.40	12.99	27,019.20
RW - 04	11.95	24,856.00	12.45	25,896.00	12.94	26,915.20	13.48	28,038.40	13.94	28,995.20
RW - 05	12.75	26,520.00	13.27	27,601.60	13.80	28,704.00	14.33	29,806.40	14.86	30,908.80
RW - 06	13.51	28,100.80	14.08	29,286.40	14.64	30,451.20	15.20	31,616.00	15.76	32,780.80
RW - 07	14.41	29,972.80	15.00	31,200.00	15.60	32,448.00	16.20	33,696.00	16.80	34,944.00
RW - 08	15.20	31,616.00	15.83	32,926.40	16.46	34,236.80	17.10	35,568.00	17.73	36,878.40
RW - 09	16.00	33,280.00	16.66	34,652.80	17.33	36,046.40	18.00	37,440.00	18.66	38,812.80
RW - 10	16.80	34,944.00	17.50	36,400.00	18.21	37,876.80	18.91	39,332.80	19.61	40,788.80
RW - 11	17.62	36,649.60	18.35	38,168.00	19.09	39,707.20	19.82	41,225.60	20.56	42,764.80
RW - 12	18.40	38,272.00	19.17	39,873.60	19.94	41,475.20	20.71	43,076.80	21.47	44,657.60
RW - 13	19.19	39,915.20	19.99	41,579.20	20.80	43,264.00	21.60	44,928.00	22.40	46,592.00
RW - 14	20.00	41,600.00	20.84	43,347.20	21.68	45,094.40	22.48	46,758.40	23.34	48,547.20
RW - 15	20.80	43,264.00	21.67	45,073.60	22.55	46,904.00	23.40	48,672.00	24.27	50,481.60

Created April 2003

UNIVERSITY OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
OUTSIDE THE SCOPE OF COLLECTIVE BARGAINING
WAGE SERVICE - LEADER PAY RATES

Increase: 6.4% **Effective:** October 6, 2002

Service Codes: B02; **CBU Code:** XGF

Created from Salary Schedule Effective April 9, 2000

GRADE	STEP 1 HOURLY RATE	STEP 1 ANNUALIZED RATE	STEP 2 HOURLY RATE	STEP 2 ANNUALIZED RATE	STEP 3 HOURLY RATE	STEP 3 ANNUALIZED RATE	STEP 4 HOURLY RATE	STEP 4 ANNUALIZED RATE	STEP 5 HOURLY RATE	STEP 5 ANNUALIZED RATE
LW - 01	10.43	21,694.40	10.85	22,568.00	11.29	23,483.20	11.73	24,398.40	12.16	25,292.80
LW - 02	11.29	23,483.20	11.76	24,460.80	12.24	25,459.20	12.69	26,395.20	13.17	27,393.60
LW - 03	12.19	25,355.20	12.69	26,395.20	13.20	27,456.00	13.71	28,516.80	14.22	29,577.60
LW - 04	13.09	27,227.20	13.62	28,329.60	14.16	29,452.80	14.72	30,617.60	15.26	31,740.80
LW - 05	13.90	28,912.00	14.48	30,118.40	15.07	31,345.60	15.64	32,531.20	16.23	33,758.40
LW - 06	14.82	30,825.60	15.44	32,115.20	16.06	33,404.80	16.67	34,673.60	17.29	35,963.20
LW - 07	15.75	32,760.00	16.42	34,153.60	17.08	35,526.40	17.73	36,878.40	18.39	38,251.20
LW - 08	16.67	34,673.60	17.38	36,150.40	18.07	37,585.60	18.76	39,020.80	19.46	40,476.80
LW - 09	17.51	36,420.80	18.25	37,960.00	18.97	39,457.60	19.69	40,955.20	20.44	42,515.20
LW - 10	18.40	38,272.00	19.17	39,873.60	19.94	41,475.20	20.71	43,076.80	21.47	44,657.60
LW - 11	19.31	40,164.80	20.12	41,849.60	20.92	43,513.60	21.73	45,198.40	22.54	46,883.20
LW - 12	20.18	41,974.40	21.02	43,721.60	21.85	45,448.00	22.71	47,236.80	23.55	48,984.00
LW - 13	21.07	43,825.60	21.95	45,656.00	22.83	47,486.40	23.70	49,296.00	24.58	51,126.40
LW - 14	21.98	45,718.40	22.90	47,632.00	23.81	49,524.80	24.73	51,438.40	25.64	53,331.20
LW - 15	22.93	47,694.40	23.89	49,691.20	24.84	51,667.20	25.79	53,643.20	26.75	55,640.00

Created April 2003

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA REGISTER
OCT 17 2003
ENROLLED ORIGINAL
5 8744

UNIVERSITY OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
OUTSIDE THE SCOPE OF COLLECTIVE BARGAINING
WAGE SERVICE - SUPERVISORY PAY RATES

Increase: 6.4% **Effective:** October 6, 2002

Service Codes: B03; **CBU Code:** XGF
Created from Salary Schedule Effective April 9, 2000

GRADE	STEP 1 HOURLY RATE	STEP 1 ANNUALIZED RATE	STEP 2 HOURLY RATE	STEP 2 ANNUALIZED RATE	STEP 3 HOURLY RATE	STEP 3 ANNUALIZED RATE	STEP 4 HOURLY RATE	STEP 4 ANNUALIZED RATE	STEP 5 HOURLY RATE	STEP 5 ANNUALIZED RATE
SW - 01	14.46	30,076.80	15.07	31,345.60	15.67	32,593.60	16.27	33,841.60	16.88	35,110.40
SW - 02	15.27	31,761.60	15.91	33,092.80	16.55	34,424.00	17.18	35,734.40	17.81	37,044.80
SW - 03	16.09	33,467.20	16.76	34,860.80	17.43	36,254.40	18.10	37,648.00	18.77	39,041.60
SW - 04	16.85	35,048.00	17.56	36,524.80	18.26	37,980.80	18.96	39,436.80	19.66	40,892.80
SW - 05	17.67	36,753.60	18.41	38,292.80	19.14	39,811.20	19.88	41,350.40	20.62	42,889.60
SW - 06	18.45	38,376.00	19.22	39,977.60	19.98	41,558.40	20.75	43,160.00	21.52	44,761.60
SW - 07	19.29	40,123.20	20.10	41,808.00	20.91	43,492.80	21.71	45,156.80	22.51	46,820.80
SW - 08	20.06	41,724.80	20.90	43,472.00	21.74	45,219.20	22.57	46,945.60	23.41	48,692.80
SW - 09	20.85	43,368.00	21.73	45,198.40	22.60	47,008.00	23.46	48,796.80	24.33	50,606.40
SW - 10	21.67	45,073.60	22.58	46,966.40	23.48	48,838.40	24.39	50,731.20	25.29	52,603.20
SW - 11	22.18	46,134.40	23.11	48,068.80	24.04	50,003.20	24.96	51,916.80	25.89	53,851.20
SW - 12	22.81	47,444.80	23.76	49,420.80	24.71	51,396.80	25.66	53,372.80	26.61	55,348.80
SW - 13	23.62	49,129.60	24.61	51,188.80	25.57	53,185.60	26.58	55,286.40	27.57	57,345.60
SW - 14	24.53	51,022.40	25.55	53,144.00	26.57	55,265.60	27.59	57,387.20	28.61	59,508.80
SW - 15	25.61	53,268.80	26.67	55,473.60	27.74	57,699.20	28.81	59,924.80	29.88	62,150.40

Created April 2003

OCT 17 2003
ENROLLED ORIGINAL

Sec. 3. The compensation system changes approved by section 2 shall become effective as of the 1st day of the 1st pay period beginning on or after October 1, 2002.

Sec. 4. Fiscal impact statement.

The Council adopts the fiscal impact statement in the committee report as the fiscal impact statement required by section 602(c)(3) of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, approved December 24, 1973 (87 Stat. 813; D.C. Official Code § 1-206.02(c)(3)).

Sec. 5. The Secretary to the Council shall transmit a copy of this resolution, upon its adoption, to the Board and to the Mayor.

Sec. 6. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

ENROLLED ORIGINAL

A RESOLUTION

15-247

IN THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

October 7, 2003

To approve the compensation system changes submitted by the Board of Trustees of the University of the District of Columbia for the non-faculty Educational Service Employees not covered by collective bargaining.

RESOLVED, BY THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, That this resolution may be cited as "University of the District of Columbia Non-Faculty/Non-Union Educational Service Employees Compensation System Changes Approval Resolution of 2003".

Sec. 2. Pursuant to section 1111(i) of the District of Columbia Government Comprehensive Merit Personnel Act of 1978, effective March 3, 1979 (D.C. Law 2-139; D.C. Official Code §1-611.11(i)), the Council approves the compensation system changes recommended by the Board of Trustees of the University of the District of Columbia (Board") for the non-faculty educational employees not covered by collective bargaining, which were transmitted to the Council by the University of the District of Columbia on July 7, 2003, and which provide as follows:

ENROLLED ORIGINAL
OCT 17 2003

UNIVERSITY OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
OUTSIDE THE SCOPE OF COLLECTIVE BARGAINING
ADMINISTRATIVE SALARY SCHEDULE

Effective: October 1, 2002

Increase: 6.4% **Grades 01, 1A, 2A, 2B Remain Constant**

Service Codes: L02, L04, L20, L26; **CBU Code:** XGF
Created from Salary Schedule Effective April 1, 2000

GRADE	STEP INCREASES								Difference Between Steps
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	
01	165,375	200,000							
1A	116,927	119,140	122,125	125,110	128,095	131,080	134,065	137,050	2,985
2A	85,843	88,731	91,619	94,507	97,395	100,283	103,171	106,059	2,888
2B	80,369	83,038	85,707	88,376	91,045	93,714	96,383	99,052	2,669
03	72,228	75,061	77,894	80,727	83,560	86,393	89,226	92,059	2,833
04	64,043	66,497	68,951	71,405	73,859	76,313	78,767	81,221	2,454
05	57,172	59,351	61,530	63,709	65,888	68,067	70,246	72,425	2,179
06	53,812	55,910	58,008	60,106	62,204	64,302	66,400	68,498	2,098
07	48,590	50,465	52,340	54,215	56,090	57,965	59,840	61,715	1,875
08	44,190	45,884	47,578	49,272	50,966	52,660	54,354	56,048	1,694
09	37,052	38,483	39,914	41,345	42,776	44,207	45,638	47,069	1,431
10	33,628	34,931	36,234	37,537	38,840	40,143	41,446	42,749	1,303
11	30,712	31,875	33,038	34,201	35,364	36,527	37,690	38,853	1,163
12	28,060	29,135	30,210	31,285	32,360	33,435	34,510	35,585	1,075
13	25,443	26,412	27,381	28,350	29,319	30,288	31,257	32,226	969
14	22,937	23,831	24,725	25,619	26,513	27,407	28,301	29,195	894
15	19,805	20,552	21,299	22,046	22,793	23,540	24,287	25,034	747

ENROLLED ORIGINAL

Sec. 3. The compensation system changes approved by section 2 shall become effective as of the 1st day of the 1st pay period beginning on or after October 1, 2002.

Sec. 4. Fiscal impact statement.
The Council adopts the fiscal impact statement in the committee report as the fiscal impact statement required by section 602(c)(3) of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, approved December 24, 1973 (87 Stat. 813; D.C. Official Code §1-206.02(c)(3)).

Sec. 5. The Secretary to the Council shall transmit a copy of this resolution, upon its adoption, to the Board and to the Mayor.

Sec. 6. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

OCT 17 2003

ENROLLED ORIGINAL

A RESOLUTION

15-248

IN THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

October 7, 2003

To approve the compensation system changes submitted by the Board of Trustees of the University of the District of Columbia for the faculty of the David A. Clarke School of Law not covered by collective bargaining.

RESOLVED, BY THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, That this resolution may be cited as "University of the District of Columbia's David A. Clarke School of Law Faculty Compensation System Changes Approval Resolution of 2003".

Sec. 2. Pursuant to section 1111(i) of the District of Columbia Government Comprehensive Merit Personnel Act of 1978, effective March 3, 1979 (D.C. Law 2-139; D.C. Official Code §1-611.11(i)), the Council approves the compensation system changes recommended by the Board of Trustees of the University of the District of Columbia ("Board") for the faculty of the David A. Clarke School of Law not covered by collective bargaining, which were transmitted to the Council by the University of the District of Columbia on July 7, 2003, and which provide as follows:

ENROLLED ORIGINAL

8751

OCT 17 2003

UNIVERSITY OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
OUTSIDE THE SCOPE OF COLLECTIVE BARGAINING
LAW SCHOOL
FACULTY SALARY SCHEDULE

Increase: 6.4% **Effective:** October 1, 2002

Service Codes: L32, N33, N34; **CBU Code:** XGF
Created from Salary Schedule Effective April 1, 2000

GRADE	STEP INCREASES															Difference Between Steps
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	
05 INSTRUCTOR	41,947	43,038	44,129	45,220	46,311	47,402	48,493	49,584	50,675	51,766	52,857	53,948	55,039	56,130	57,221	1,091
04 ASSISTANT PROFESSOR	51,761	52,982	54,203	55,424	56,645	57,866	59,087	60,308	61,529	62,750	63,971	65,192	66,413	67,634	68,855	1,221
03 ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR	58,188	59,654	61,120	62,586	64,052	65,518	66,984	68,450	69,916	71,382	72,848	74,314	75,780	77,246	78,712	1,466
02 PROFESSOR	65,521	67,390	69,259	71,128	72,997	74,866	76,735	78,604	80,473	82,342	84,211	86,080	87,949	89,818	91,687	1,869
01 DISTINGUISHED PROFESSOR															96,076	

Created April 2003

Sec. 3. The compensation system changes approved by section 2 shall become effective as of the 1st day of the 1st pay period beginning on or after October 1, 2002.

Sec. 4. Fiscal impact statement.

The Council adopts the fiscal impact statement in the committee report as the fiscal impact statement required by section 602(c)(3) of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, approved December 24, 1973 (87 Stat. 813; D.C. Official Code § 1-206.02(c)(3)).

Sec. 5. The Secretary to the Council shall transmit a copy of this resolution, upon its adoption, to the Board and to the Mayor.

Sec. 6. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

ENROLLED ORIGINAL

A RESOLUTION

15-249

IN THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

October 7, 2003

To declare the sense of the Council on full budget autonomy for the District of Columbia.

RESOLVED, BY THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, That this resolution may be cited as the "Sense of the Council Supporting District of Columbia Budget Autonomy Resolution of 2003".

Sec. 2. The Council of the District of Columbia finds that:

(1) The District of Columbia is required to have its local budget composed of local tax dollars reviewed by the United States House of Representatives and Senate.

(2) The people of the District of Columbia are refused equal treatment as American citizens in many ways: lack of control of local tax dollars, lack of control of the criminal justice system, lack of sole control of local legislation, and lack of voting representation in the United States House of Representatives and Senate. The people of the District of Columbia suffer politically, economically, and psychologically from this form of colonial rule by Congress.

(3) The review and appropriation of the local budget by Congress should end because:

(A) The District of Columbia is the only jurisdiction in the United States subject to this review. Members of the United States Congress were elected by the voters of their respective states to represent their interests and not those of the people of the District of Columbia. Congressional appropriation of the local budget constitutes disparate and unequal treatment of the people of the District of Columbia and therefore is inconsistent with the founding principles of the nation.

(B) The members of the United States Congress should not be burdened with the responsibility of overseeing the minutia of the District of Columbia's budget when they have much greater issues to address.

(C) Year after year - 80 percent of the last 15 years - Congress has failed to timely adopt the District of Columbia's budget. On average, Congress adopts the District of Columbia's budget 7 weeks after the fiscal year begins.

(D) The delay and uncertainty caused by Congressional oversight and

review costs money. It causes the people of the District of Columbia to pay unnecessary interest on bonds. New programs and cost-saving initiatives cannot be implemented quickly. Meanwhile, unnecessary dollars are spent managing constraints under stop-gap "continuing resolutions" instigated by Congress.

(E) Budget autonomy would increase financial flexibility by enabling the District of Columbia to react quickly to changing programs and financial conditions.

(F) Budget autonomy would enable the District of Columbia to conform to the standard local government fiscal year of July through June. This would enhance the ability of the public school system and the state university to manage funds effectively since a July-June cycle more closely resembles the school year. Also, the standard local government fiscal year would fit better the District of Columbia's revenue cycle.

(G) Budget autonomy reflects the fact that over 68 percent of the District of Columbia's budget is supported by local funds (FY 2003), and almost 31 percent comprises federal transfer payments and grants already separately appropriated by Congress. Less than one percent consists of federal dollars uniquely and especially appropriated to the District of Columbia.

(H) Budget autonomy also reflects the reality that Congress rarely makes changes with regard to the District of Columbia's proposed local budget. If Congress does not make changes, why subject the budget to affirmative approval?

(I) The need for Congressional review by legislators unelected by the people of the District of Columbia violates the human rights of the people of the District of Columbia by denying them representative government as stipulated in Article 21 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

(J) The "District of Columbia Budget Autonomy Act of 2003" (H.R. 2472, introduced by U.S. Representative Tom Davis for himself and Delegate Eleanor Holmes Norton; S. 1267, introduced by Senator Susan Collins for herself and Senators Joseph Lieberman, Ted Stevens, George Voinovich, Richard Durbin, Mike DeWine, and Mary Landrieu) would help lead to greater budget autonomy. Although the District of Columbia's budget bill would be transmitted to Congress for a 30-day period, there would no longer be the requirement that the District of Columbia's budget be enacted by Congress.

Sec. 3. It is the sense of the Council that:

(1) It is in the public interest and in the best interest of the citizens of the United States that the District of Columbia have full autonomy over the adoption and revision of its budget. Accordingly, the United States should pass the "District of Columbia Budget Autonomy Act of 2003" this year.

(2) Congress act on the Independence of the Chief Financial Officer Establishment Act of 2001, signed by the Mayor on July 13, 2001 (D.C. Act 14-89; 48 DCR 7072) ("Act"). Adopted by the Council on July 10, 2001, the Act was transmitted to Congress on

July 17, 2001, and re-transmitted to Congress on February 3, 2003. This Act establishes the structure and functions of the Office of the Chief Financial Officer in the post-control period and was crafted in close consultation with the then-extant District of Columbia Financial Responsibility and Management Assistance Authority, the Mayor, and the incumbent Chief Financial Officer. The Act is a component of the financial controls which enhance the District of Columbia's ability to act in a fiscally responsible and prudent manner. The Act requires affirmative action by Congress to repeal section 424 of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, and provisions in sections 448 and 449 which conflict with section 6 of the Act.

Sec. 4. The Secretary to the Council shall transmit a copy of this resolution, together with the accompanying committee report, to the officers of both houses of Congress, to the committee chairs which have jurisdiction over District of Columbia affairs, and to the Congresswoman for the District of Columbia.

Sec. 5. This resolution shall take effect immediately upon the first date of publication in the District of Columbia Register.

ENROLLED ORIGINAL

A RESOLUTION

15-250

IN THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

October 7, 2003

To approve an additional amount of $ 96,300 for assessment and expenditure in Formal Case 962, which will allow the Public Service Commission to complete its statutory mandates under the Telecommunications Competition Act of 1996.

RESOLVED, BY THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, That this resolution may be cited as the "Public Service Commission Telecommunications Competition Fund Expenditure Increase Approval Resolution of 2003".

Sec. 2. The Council determines that:

(1) Over the last several years, the Public Service Commission ("Commission") has issued several orders that resolved most of the non-pricing issues in section 3(k) of the Telecommunications Competition Act of 1996, effective September 9, 1996 (D.C. Law 11-154; D.C. Code § 34-2002(k)) ("Act").

(2) In December 2002, the Commission issued Opinion and Order No. 12610 ("Order No. 12610") in Formal Case No. 962 to resolve most of the pricing issues in section 3(k) of the Act.

(3) During January 2003, the 3 active parties to the proceeding filed Applications for Reconsideration of Order No. 12610. Subsequently, members of the Commission's technical staff responsible for the pricing and engineering portions of the proceeding left the agency, and efforts to recruit and hire a telecommunications technical expert have not been successful.

(4) In February 2003, the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") announced in a media advisory that its upcoming Triennial Review Order was going to create new rules for network unbundling obligations of incumbent local exchange carriers like Verizon Washington, D.C., Inc. According to the media advisory, the FCC will require significant State Commission participation with truncated time frames. The Commission expects the FCC's Order to require a reassessment of its Order on Reconsideration and previous rulings on unbundled network elements.

(5) Without the assistance of an experienced telecommunications technical expert, the Commission is unable issue an Order on Reconsideration that is both technically

sufficient and timely. Therefore, the Commission needs additional funds to engage a technical consultant through a competitive procurement process.

(6) Based on its experience in pricing proceedings and rate cases, the Commission has transmitted a written determination that an additional $ 96,300 is required to engage a consultant so that the pricing mandates of section 3(k) of the Act may be completed.

Sec. 3. Pursuant to section 3(m) of the Act, the Council approves the additional amount of $ 96,300 to be expended by the Public Service Commission in Formal Case 962 to complete its statutory mandate required by section 3(k) of the Act.

Sec. 4. The Council shall transmit a copy of this resolution, upon its adoption, each to the Mayor and the Public Service Commission.

Sec. 5. The Council adopts the fiscal impact statement in the committee report as fiscal impact statement required by 602(3) to the Council of the District of Columbia Home Rule Act, approved December 24, 1973 (87 Stat. 813; D.C. Official Code § 1-206.02(c)(3)).

Sec. 6. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

ENROLLED ORIGINAL

A RESOLUTION

15-251

IN THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

October 7, 2003

To declare the existence of an emergency, due to Congressional review, with respect to the need to permit the Office of Tax and Revenue to share name, address, and social security data with the Superior Court of the District of Columbia.

RESOLVED, BY THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, That this resolution may be cited as the "Superior Court of the District of Columbia Master Jury List Project Clarification Congressional Review Emergency Declaration Resolution of 2003".

Sec. 2. (a) There exists an immediate need to correct and update the master jury lists maintained and utilized by the Superior Court of the District of Columbia.

(b) Upon the recommendation of the Office of Tax and Revenue, changes are needed in the District of Columbia Official Code to clarify that the Office may provide name, address, and social security data to the Superior Court of the District of Columbia for their use in updating the master jury list.

(c) The Council previously passed emergency and permanent versions of this legislation. The emergency version of the legislation, D.C. Act 15-111, will expire on October 27, 2003. The permanent version of the legislation was passed by the Council on second reading on September 16, 2003, and is undergoing Congressional review.

(d) In order to avoid a gap in authority, the underlying Congressional review emergency legislation is warranted.

Sec. 3. The Council of the District of Columbia determines that the circumstances enumerated in section 2 constitute emergency circumstances making it necessary that the Superior Court of the District of Columbia Master Jury List Project Clarification Legislative Review Emergency Act of 2003 be adopted after a single reading.

Sec. 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

ENROLLED ORIGINAL

A RESOLUTION

15-252

IN THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

October 7, 2003

To declare the existence of an emergency with respect to the need to appropriate $7.6 million from the funds distributed to the District of Columbia pursuant to section 903(d) of the Social Security Act to improve the administration of the Unemployment Compensation Program.

RESOLVED, BY THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, That this resolution may be cited as the "Unemployment Compensation Funds Appropriation Authorization Emergency Declaration Resolution of 2003".

Sec. 2. (a) There exists an ongoing need to improve the administration of the District's Unemployment Compensation Program and to reduce its dependence on outside contractors to provide basic data system services, to provide for the maintenance of unemployment compensation and Virtual One-Stop data systems, to provide for the direct deposit of benefit payments, to provide for promotions of certain career-ladder staff in the Department of Employment Services and to provide for a system to improve the integrity of the unemployment compensation program and to reduce the level of overpayments, particularly those attributable to fraud or abuse.

(b) There are funds available to defray the costs of these necessary changes without additional costs to the District of Columbia from the funds distributed to the District of Columbia's account in the Unemployment Compensation Trust Fund pursuant to Section 903(d) of the Social Security Act (42 USC § 1103(d)).

(c) It is necessary that authorization for the appropriation of these funds remain in effect so that the needed administrative improvement process for the District's Unemployment Compensation Program continues to move forward in an expeditious manner.

(d) The Unemployment Compensation Funds Appropriation Authorization Temporary Act of 2002, effective March 25, 2003 (D.C. Law 14-248; 49 DCR 11633), will expire on November 5, 2003.

(e) It is important that the authorization for the use of these funds remain in effect.

Sec. 3. The Council of the District of Columbia determines that the circumstances enumerated in section 2 constitute emergency circumstances making it necessary that the Unemployment Compensation Funds Appropriation Authorization Emergency Act of 2003 be adopted after a single reading.

Sec. 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

ENROLLED ORIGINAL

A RESOLUTION

15-253

IN THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

October 7, 2003

To declare the existence of an emergency with respect to the need to prohibit the parking and loading of tour buses on Eastern Avenue, NE, between Riggs Road, NE, and Kennedy Street, NE.

RESOLVED, BY THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, That this resolution may be cited as the "Eastern Avenue Tour Bus Parking Emergency Declaration Resolution of 2003".

Sec. 2. (a) The Eastern Avenue Tour Bus Parking Temporary Amendment Act of 2002, effective April 2, 2003 (D.C. Law 14-276; 50 DCR 624), will expire on November 13, 2003. The permanent version of this legislation, the Eastern Avenue Tour Bus No Parking Regulation Amendment Act of 2003, as introduced on January 24, 2003 (Bill 15-87) has been referred to the Committee on Public Works and the Environment. The permanent bill has not yet been the subject of a Committee public hearing or roundtable.

(b) For the health, safety, and quality of life of the residents in this area, there exists an ongoing need to prohibit tour buses from parking on Eastern Avenue.

(c) Emergency legislation is necessary, for without this legislation there would be no regulation to control the parking of tour buses directly adjacent to a residential community on Eastern Avenue.

(d) Residents of Eastern Avenue between Kennedy Street, NE, and Riggs Road, NE, have made several attempts to prohibit the parking and idling of tour buses; however, there remains a need for legislation to ameliorate this situation.

Sec. 3. The Council of the District of Columbia determines that the circumstances enumerated in section 2 constitute emergency circumstances making it necessary that the Eastern Avenue Tour Bus Parking Emergency Amendment Act of 2003 be adopted after a single reading.

Sec. 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

ENROLLED ORIGINAL

A RESOLUTION

15-254

IN THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

October 7, 2003

To declare the existence of an emergency with respect to the need to amend section 47-1803.02 of the D.C. Official Code to provide that the exclusion from gross income applies to amounts received by a claimant from any type of discrimination.

RESOLVED, BY THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, That this resolution may be cited as the "Income From Discrimination Exclusion Emergency Declaration Resolution of 2003".

Sec. 2. (a) The Income From Discrimination Exclusion Temporary Amendment Act of 2002, effective March 25, 2003 (D.C. Law 14-243; 49 DCR 11173), ("Temporary Act"), will expire on November 5, 2003.

(b) The Temporary Act amends the Civil Rights Tax Fairness Act of 2002 to exclude from the computation of District gross income the amount received from lawsuits or settlements involving unlawful discrimination in general, and not strictly employment discrimination.

(c) Emergency legislation is needed to ensure that this clarifying amendment does not lapse.

Sec. 3. The Council of the District of Columbia determines that the circumstances enumerated in section 2 constitute emergency circumstances making it necessary that the Income From Discrimination Exclusion Emergency Amendment Act of 2003 be adopted after a single reading.

Sec. 4. This resolution shall take effect immediately.

ENROLLED ORIGINAL

A RESOLUTION

15-255

IN THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF THE COLUMBIA

October 7, 2003

To declare the sense of the Council that the U.S. Congress and the President of the United States should reauthorize the federal law prohibiting the manufacture, sale, and importation of assault weapons before the law expires in September 2004.

RESOLVED, BY THE COUNCIL OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, That this resolution may be cited as the "Sense of the Council on Extending the Federal Assault Weapons Ban Resolution of 2003".

Sec. 2. The Council finds that:

(1) In 1994, the U.S. Congress and the President of the United States took an important step in protecting Americans from gun violence, injury, and death by prohibiting the manufacture, sale, and importation of new military-style, semi-automatic assault weapons and rapid-fire ammunition magazines that hold more than 10 rounds. This provision, enacted as part of the Violent Crime Control and Law Enforcement Act, is known as the assault weapons ban.

(2) The assault weapons ban was supported by every major national law enforcement organization in the country, including the Law Enforcement Steering Committee, the Fraternal Order of Police, the National Sheriffs' Association, the International Association of Chiefs of Police, the Major City Chiefs Association, the International Brotherhood of Police Officers, the National Association of Police Organizations, the Hispanic American Police Command Officers Association, the National Black Police Association, the National Organization of Black Law Enforcement Executives, the Police Executive Research Forum, and the Police Foundation. These groups supported the ban because assault weapons were frequently used by drug traffickers, gangs, and paramilitary extremist groups, and posed a serious threat to the safety of police officers as well as the safety of the neighborhoods they protect.

(3) The assault weapons ban has been effective in limiting the availability and use of military-style firearms that have no purpose other than to maximize death and injury from a very rapid rate of fire. In 1999, the National Institute for Justice reported that trace requests for assault weapons declined 20 percent in the first year after the federal ban took effect. Data provided by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms showed that assault weapons dropped from 8.2 percent of all guns used in crime before passage of the ban, to 3.2 percent after passage of the ban.

(4) The assault weapons ban has been particularly important in protecting residents of urban neighborhoods where these combat weapons were used to maim and kill.

(5) The assault weapons ban is due to expire, or "sunset," on September 13, 2004, unless Congress and the President enact new legislation that reauthorizes the ban.

(6) Major law enforcement organizations, including the Police Foundation, the National Association of School Resource Officers, the National Organization of Black Law

Enforcement Executives, the Major Cities Chiefs Associations, the International Brotherhood of Police Officers, and the Police Executive Research Forum, have reaffirmed their support for the assault weapons ban by calling on Congress and the President to reauthorize the ban.

(7) If the assault weapons ban is not reauthorized, the manufacture and sale of AK-47s, UZIs, TEC-9s, and other assault weapons will become legal again. These weapons of war belong on the battlefield, not in our neighborhoods, and our law enforcement officers should not be at risk from these weapons that can penetrate body armor and leave law enforcement officers defenseless.

(8) Reauthorizing the assault weapons ban is an extremely important step to protect Americans from terrorists seeking to use such weapons to injure and kill.

Sec. 3. It is the sense of the Council that:

(1) The U.S. Congress should immediately schedule hearings on legislation (S. 1034 and H.R. 2038) to reauthorize the assault weapons ban, bring the legislation to a vote in both the House and Senate, and send legislation reauthorizing the ban to the President of the United States.

(2) The President of the United States should announce his support for the extension of the assault weapons ban, urge Congressional leaders to act promptly on the legislation, and sign the legislation into law once approved by both houses of Congress.

Sec. 4. The Secretary to the Council shall transmit a copy of this resolution to the President of the United States, the Majority Leader of the U.S. Senate, the Minority Leader of the U.S. Senate, the Speaker of the U.S. House of Representatives, and the Minority Leader of the U.S. House of Representatives.

Sec. 5. This resolution shall take effect immediately upon the first date of publication in the District of Columbia Register.